

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,926	08/07/2001	Shinji Tanaka	1265-01	1861
35811 7	590 06/21/2005		EXAM	INER
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP			GILLIAM, BARBARA LEE	
1650 MARKE	T ST			
SUITE 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			1752	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- <del>2</del>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/890,926	TANAKA ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BarbaraGilliam	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b	)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	· ·	•			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>3 and 5</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	·			
Claim(s) allowed: 2 and 4.					
Claim(s) objected to: 3 and 5.					
Claim(s) rejected: 6					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			
10. Other:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	CYNTHIA H. K SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENT	ELLY T EXAMINEBY THE REPORT TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE			

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/890,926

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment seeks to narrow the scope of the claim Also, the proposed amendment appears to be missing some of the subject matter intended..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection over Barzynski '471 is maintained because the proposed amendment to the claim would require a further search and the portion to be amended was not clear.